

Report to the Finance & Performance Management Cabinet Committee



**Epping Forest
District Council**

Report reference: FPM-032-2010/11

Date of meeting: 21 March 2011

Portfolio: Finance & Economic Development

Subject: Update on Procurement Activity and the Essex Procurement Hub

Responsible Officer: Dawn Jolley (01992 564355).

Democratic Services Officer: Gary Woodhall (01992 564470).

Recommendations/Decisions Required:

(1) That the current performance of Epping Forest District Council (EFDC) Procurement and the Essex Procurement Hub (the Hub) be noted

Executive Summary:

The report sets out the publishing of local spend data over £500; the publishing of new contracts and tenders data, the EU Remedies Directive 2007/66/EC; examples of when it has gone wrong; a brief update on the Essex Procurement Hub and a number of procurement projects concluded to date in 2010/11.

Reasons for Proposed Decision:

To keep Members informed of EFDC Procurement's current workload and the benefits to the Council of making use of the Hub.

Other Options for Action:

Members are only being asked to note current performance.

Report:

Local Transparency – Publishing Local Spend Data Over £500

1. The Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government wrote to local authorities in June 2010 committing them to publishing items of spending over £500 by January 2011.

2. Epping Forest District Council has met this requirement and is publishing monthly reports listing expenditure over £500. These reports are provided in both pdf and csv formats and cover expenditure from April 2010.

3. Additionally, the Council is also publishing historic spend data over £500 on Spikes Cavell's spotlight spend. Designed with the public in mind, spotlight spend is intuitive and easy to use. Standardised templates make comparison possible between public bodies of differing sizes. Historic spend data dates back to April 2009. (For an overview of the external spend for EFDC for 2009/10, please see *Appendix A*).

4. All data may be used and re-used, free of charge, in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence.

5. *What is included?* The information we are required to provide includes:

- the service area responsible for spending the money
- the general nature of the expenditure (e.g. electricity)
- the date of payment
- the transaction number
- the amount (in Sterling) and
- the supplier's name

6. *What is not published?* The publication of expenditure data only includes non-personal data in line with existing data access regulations, namely the Freedom of Information Act (FOI), the Data Protection Act and the Environmental Information Regulation. The FOI Act exempts certain information, such as personal information, information withheld for security reasons and court records, from publication.

Local Transparency – Publishing New Contracts & Tenders Data

7. The Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government wrote to local authorities in June 2010 committing them to publishing items of spending including tenders and contracts by January 2011. However consultation relating to this requirement was still ongoing as of 21 January 2011. On the 18 February 2011 the Local Government Group published its response to the consultation and the result is that new guidance on the exact requirements will not be published until March 2011. Once the amended guidance notes have been issued, EFDC Procurement will resume the work required in order for the Council to meet this requirement.

EU Remedies Directive 2007/66/EC

8. On 20 December 2009, the new remedies directive was implemented into UK law. The purpose behind the new remedies directive is to provide an effective remedy for breaches of EU and national procurement law.

9. Automatic Injunction - Under the amending regulations, if the contract has not been entered into and the claimant starts court proceedings, the contracting authority must not enter into the contract and although it does not arise by order of the court, it does have immediate effect. The automatic injunction remains in place until the court sets it aside or the proceedings come to an end. There is no longer a duty to notify contracting authorities of the alleged breaches of the Regulations or of an intention to bring proceedings before issuing a claim. Contracting authorities may find that their procurement grinds to a halt, with very little (or no) notice. The automatic injunction takes effect upon service of the claim on the contracting authority. The court rules that a claim form is deemed to be served on the second business day after the claim form is despatched to the defendant. This means that a contracting authority could receive a copy of a Claim Form by email, but it would not be "served" until 2 days later.

10. Ineffectiveness - Under the old regime, subject to a few limited exceptions, once the contract has been entered into it could not be set aside. This has now changed - when a contract is declared ineffective, all obligations which have already been performed can stand, but all others must be cancelled. Under the amending regulations there are three grounds for ineffectiveness:

- where a contract is awarded without prior publication of an OJEU contract notice (in circumstances where prior publication was required);

- where a contract is entered into in breach of the standstill period, and where there is also an additional breach of the procurement rules, which has affected the chances of the challenger winning the contract; and
- where call-off contracts above the relevant EU financial threshold are awarded (without running a standstill period) following a mini-competition under a framework agreement and where the mini-competition rules (or rules for awarding specific contracts) have been breached.

11. The amending regulations recognise that the courts may wish to exercise their discretion not to declare a contract ineffective (but to impose alternative remedies of fines and/or contract shortening instead) when the court is satisfied that “overriding reasons relating to a general interest require that the effects of the contract should be maintained”. Economic reasons cannot be “overriding reasons” except in exceptional circumstances.

12. Assuming that the court makes a finding of ineffectiveness, it must also impose a fine on the authority which must be “effective, proportionate and dissuasive”.

13. The court also has the power to make orders addressing the consequences of ineffectiveness, such as:

- when will the cancellation take effect?
- should any future obligations be performed (e.g. payment for a service already provided)?
- what will happen to the provision of the service until a new procurement can be run?

14. The main way in which contracting authorities may guard against a finding of ineffectiveness is to observe the procurement rules. It is for this reason that EFDC Procurement strongly advises that all officers responsible for procuring goods, services and/or works on behalf of EFDC seek advice prior to commencing any procurement exercise.

15. Besides endeavouring to achieve Value for Money for the Authority, one of the main priorities for Procurement at the Council is to reduce risk by providing advice on:

- Internal Standing Orders and Financial Regulations,
- EU Procurement Regulations, and
- Best Practice.

Examples Of When It Has Gone Wrong

16. On the 26 January 2011, the High Court held that Leeds Council will be ordered to pay damages after the authority was found to have breached regulations when tendering for a contract. The level of damages will be assessed at a later date; however, considering the value of the tender (an estimated £400 million over 10 years), it is expected to be high (please see *Appendix B* for the full article).

17. In 2002, City of York Council awarded a contract for the residential development of a piece of land known as "Osbalwick" directly to a UK housing trust. The contract in question was a public works concession contract – where the contractor is paid in part by being given the right to exploit the development after it has been built. Under EU public procurement rules, this type of contract should have been awarded following an EU-wide tender process. The European Commission started legal proceedings against the United Kingdom in order to have the contract reviewed (please see *Appendix C* for the full Infringement Case notice).

18. The current EU Procurement Threshold for public works is £3,927,260. Any contract for public works exceeding this value is subject to EU public procurement rules and as such an EU-wide tender process must be carried out. The Council will need to be mindful of this, especially when carrying out any future development projects.

Essex Procurement Hub – Brief Update

19. Epping Forest District Council (EFDC) has been a member of the Essex Procurement Hub (the Hub) since October 2006.

20. Current membership includes:

- Braintree District Council
- Colchester Borough Council
- Castlepoint Borough Council
- Epping Forest District Council
- Maldon District Council.

21. EFDC's gross subscription for 2010/11 equates to £47,140. Whilst this is approximately 24% more than last year, primarily due to Greenfields Community Housing and Uttlesford District Council's non renewal of their subscription, total projected rebates for 2010/11 are likely to be in the region of £44,110, 47% higher than last year due to increased use, nationally, of Hub framework agreements. If all rebates are realised, the net cost of the Hub to EFDC would be in the region of £3,026 (Please see *Appendix D* for further detailed information).

22. Below is a list of current Hub framework agreements available for use nationally - the items in bold are agreements that have either recently been used or are currently being used by EFDC:

- **Personal Protective Equipment**
- Refuse Freighter Hire
- Car Park Equipment
- Clearance and Cleaning of Void Properties
- **Parking Enforcement Services**
- **Playground Schemes**
- Staff Framework
- **Banking Services**
- **Consultancy for Construction based Projects**
- **Webcasting**
- **Corporate Wear**
- **Refuse Freighter, outright purchase**
- Crematoria Equipment and Maintenance
- **Plastic Sacks**
- Self Drive Vehicle Hire
- **Waste Management**
- Gas Boilers
- **IT Hardware**
- Oil Boilers
- **Contract Hire with Maintenance of Staff Cars**
- Service and Repair of Gas & Oil Central Heating
- Janitorial Supplies
- Corporate Website Design
- Repair & Maintenance Services
- Electrical re-wiring
- Civil engineering works
- Estates Environmental Improvement Programme

Recent Procurement Projects Carried Out In Conjunction With The Hub

23. *Ink / Toner Cartridges* - As a result of EFDC's membership of the Procurement Agency for Essex (PAE), EFDC has been able to continue to make use of the MOD framework agreement set up with XMA and as such benefit from the continued savings. Through detailed spend analysis, it is anticipated that the Council is saving approximately £23,000 per annum on the direct purchase of ink and toner cartridges.

24. *Cash in Transit* - By working strategically with EFDC, last year the Hub let a three year contract for the provision of cash-in-transit services on behalf of the Council. Estimated cash savings for 2010/11 equate to £9,000 with a saving of a further £9,000 over the next financial year.

25. *CCTV Maintenance* - By providing both guidance and advice and by working strategically alongside EFDC, the Hub has let a two year agreement on behalf of the Council for the maintenance of its CCTV equipment. Cashable savings equating to £61,200 over the two year period. These savings are based on work being undertaken free of charge for the first 6 months of the financial year with a nominal cost for the subsequent 18 months.

26. *Internal Audit Partnership Services* – By working strategically with EFDC, the Hub let a two year contract for the provision of internal audit services on behalf of the Council. Cash savings for 2010/11 equate to £3,300 with a saving of a further £3,300 for 2011/12.

27. *Plastic Sacks* - Through the use of an existing Hub framework agreement, the Hub was able to run a mini-competition on EFDC's behalf resulting in an estimated £12,500 saving (excluding retrospective rebates).

28. *Vending Machines* - By providing both guidance and advice and by working strategically alongside EFDC, the Hub assisted the Council in letting a five year agreement for the provision of vending machines. Cashable savings equate to £4,622 per annum.

29. *Arboricultural Maintenance* - Through the provision of both strategic and operation support to EFDC, the Hub was able assist in the running of a bespoke tender exercise which resulted in the award of a five year contract for the provision of arboricultural maintenance. Revenue savings for the project total £23,851 per annum.

30. *Various IT projects* - By working strategically with the Hub and utilising various OGC framework agreements (on-line), EFDC has saved £26,193 against its approved Capital budget for 2010/11.

31. *Limes Farm Hall Construction project* - Through the use of an existing Hub framework agreement, the Hub was able to assist the Council in saving approximately £7,750 (excluding retrospective rebates) against the original quote for fixed-cost project management.

32. *Graffiti Removal* - By working strategically alongside EFDC, the Hub has assisted in letting a two year agreement for the removal of graffiti across the District. Cashable savings equating to £31,200 over the life of the contract. These savings are made against the rates and removal figures for 2009/10.

33. *Refuse Vehicles* – Through the use of an existing Hub framework agreement, the Hub assisted the Council in conducting a mini competition for the provision of seven new refuse freighters. Estimated total savings against Capital budget equate to £70,162.

34. Total savings for 2010/11 as of February 2011 are calculated to be approximately £226,710. Please see Appendix E and F for more information on the recent projects

completed by EFDC Procurement and the Hub and associated cash savings.

Resource Implications:

Central Government's new initiatives to publish Local Spend and New Contracts & Tenders data has an impact on resources, such that time essential for strategic procurement projects is reduced as a result of the Council's obligation to meet this requirement.

Given the increasing financial pressures on the Council, it will be imperative that best Value for Money is obtained from all future procurement exercises. As stated above, savings for 2010/11, as at February 2011, exceed £226,000 and this money can be used to further improve services to the local community.

Legal and Governance Implications:

The work of the Hub helps ensure that the Council complies fully with EU Procurement Regulations. The work undertaken internally by EFDC Procurement endeavours to ensure better compliance with Contract Standing Orders and Financial Regulations and promote Best Practice.

Safer, Cleaner and Greener Implications:

The dissemination of information on sustainability undertaken by EFDC Procurement should ensure better compliance with the Council's existing Climate Change and Safer, Cleaner, Greener strategies.

Consultation Undertaken:

EFDC staff and the Essex Procurement Hub have been consulted.

Background Papers:

OGC – Procurement Policy Note – Public Procurement Rules, Development Agreements and s106 “Planning Agreements”; Updated and Additional Guidance. Information Note 12/10 30 June 2010.

Impact Assessments:

Risk Management

If procurement is not properly co-ordinated and controlled, the Council is unlikely to achieve value for money.

Failure to comply with EU regulations may result in the UK High Court preventing the award of a contract by setting aside the contract award decision and/or awarding damages to any provider who has suffered loss or damage as a result of any breach and imposing fines on the authority.

Equality and Diversity:

Did the initial assessment of the proposals contained in this report for relevance to the Council's general equality duties, reveal any potentially adverse equality implications? No

Where equality implications were identified through the initial assessment process, has a formal Equality Impact Assessment been undertaken? N/A

What equality implications were identified through the Equality Impact Assessment process?
Not applicable

How have the equality implications identified through the Equality Impact Assessment been addressed in this report in order to avoid discrimination against any particular group?

Not applicable

OVERVIEW OF THE EXTERNAL SPEND FOR EFDC 2009/10



Overview of the external spend for Epping Forest District Council

Buying Entity		Spend	Carbon
Primary Key Facts & People			
Data Comparison			
Select a year and buying entity of the same type			
Latest year		Select public body	Go
	Actual Spend	English District Council average spend	Compared to English District Council average spend
Total Spend	£30,164,591	£18,899,679	+60%
Spend with SMEs	51%	47%	+3.8%
Spend locally	15%	14%	+1.1%
Average spend per creditor	£45,360	£35,046	+29%
Average spend per invoice	£1,546	£1,790	-14%

Epping Forest District Council data is from April 2009 to March 2010

LEEDS COUNCIL WILL BE ORDERED TO PAY DAMAGES TO MEARS AFTER THE AUTHORITY WAS FOUND TO HAVE BREACHED REGULATIONS WHEN TENDERING FOR A CONTRACT.

MEARS WINS DAMAGES FROM LEEDS COUNCIL

27 January 2011 | By Carl Brown | Inside Housing

The authority failed to disclose weightings and criteria that applied when assessing submissions from contractors hoping to be shortlisted for a refurbishment, repairs and maintenance contract. It was found that this could have affected Mears chances of making it through to the next round of the procurement.

At the High Court yesterday Justice Ramsey ruled that Leeds Council had breached transparency and weighting requirements under the Public Contracts Regulations 2006.

Justice Ramsey ruled that damages will be awarded, with the amount to be decided at a later date. The formal judgment is to be published within a month, Inside Housing understands.

The court had earlier thrown out two other allegations by Mears because it had been too slow making them.

Mears had alleged that the council had altered pricing requirements after receiving tenders and had given Mears too little time to respond to the changes.

Justice Ramsey ruled that Mears' claims had not been made within three months as required by the Public Contracts Regulations 2006.

Mears had claimed it was not until 2 July that it knew of the reasons why it had been eliminated from the tender procedure, and therefore its application on 12 October was within three months. But Justice Ramsey said Mears should have acted within three months of first receiving a letter from Leeds Council about pricing on 18 May.

Justice Ramsey said: 'It follows that by 18 August the three month period had expired and that the proceedings commenced on 12 October were not commenced within time.'

<http://www.insidehousing.co.uk/news/legal/mears-wins-damages-from-leeds-council/6513389.article>

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT: COMMISSION CLOSES INFRINGEMENT PROCEDURE AGAINST UNITED KINGDOM OVER LAND CONTRACT IN YORK

P/10/507

Brussels, 5 May 2010

The European Commission has decided to close a legal case against the United Kingdom concerning the award of a contract by City of York Council relating to the residential development of a piece of land known as "Osbalwick". The initial contract did not comply with EU public procurement rules, meaning that an EU-wide tender process had not been undertaken and certain companies could have been denied the possibility to bid for the contract. The Commission started legal proceedings against the United Kingdom in order to have the contract reviewed. Now, the responsible authorities have recognised the need to follow EU rules and have reopened the contract to competition accordingly. Taxpayers can now be sure that the contract will be awarded to the company offering best value for money, while companies from all over the EU can now put in their bids for this important development.

Public procurement is about how public authorities spend public money. It covers purchases of everything from coffee to computer systems, waste water plants, ship building or consulting services. Total public procurement in the EU is estimated at about 17% of the Union's GDP. The open and transparent tendering procedures required under EU public procurement rules mean more competition, stronger safeguards against corruption, and better service and value for money for taxpayers.

Contract for development of land in city of York

In 2002, City of York Council awarded a contract for the residential development of a piece of land known as "Osbalwick" directly to a UK housing trust. The contract in question was a public works concession contract – where the contractor is paid in part by being given the right to exploit the development after it has been built. Under EU public procurement rules, this type of contract should have been awarded following an EU-wide tender process.

After the Commission formally requested the United Kingdom to change the procedures followed (in the form of a "reasoned opinion" under EU infringement procedures - see [IP/09/1000](#) (*copied below for convenience*), the UK authorities took the appropriate measures in order to reopen the contract to competition. This means that the project will not be realised on the basis of the original concession contract, but instead will be carried out in four distinct phases. The UK provided its commitment that the contract for each construction phase of the development will be awarded following a separate, open and transparent tendering process, in full compliance with EU law. In this way commercially attractive opportunities will be created for a wide range of potentially interested developers, with a positive impact on the region in terms of economic growth and employment.

In addition, the UK has put in place measures aimed at ensuring that these issues will not arise in future projects. To this end, it has published guidance on how public procurement rules apply to the award of concession contracts for land development. This gives the additional guarantee that in future, contracting authorities across the UK will comply with EU law in this area.

<http://europa.eu/rapid/pressReleasesAction.do?reference=IP/10/507&format=HTML&aged=0&language=en&guiLanguage=en>

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT: COMMISSION SENDS FORMAL REQUEST TO UNITED KINGDOM OVER AWARD OF CONTRACT FOR DEVELOPMENT OF LAND IN CITY OF YORK

IP/09/1000

Brussels, 25 June 2009

The European Commission has decided to send a formal request to the United Kingdom concerning the award of a public works concession contract by the City of York Council relating to the residential development of a piece of land known as "Osbalwick". This formal request takes the form of a "reasoned opinion", the second stage of the infringement procedure laid down in Article 226 of the EC Treaty. If there is no satisfactory reply within two months, the Commission may refer the matter to the European Court of Justice.

The City of York Council awarded this contract without having carried out a tendering process. The Commission considers that the contract in question constitutes a public works concession contract and as such should have been awarded, on the basis of the public procurement Directive applicable at the time (93/37/EEC), following the publication of a concession notice in the Official Journal of the European Union and the completion of a tendering process. Such a tendering process would have to have been compatible with Treaty principles of freedom of establishment and freedom to provide services as well as those of equal treatment, non-discrimination and transparency. The Commission therefore is of the opinion that in the absence of such a tendering process, the United Kingdom has failed to fulfil its obligations under EU public procurement rules.

Although the United Kingdom has changed its initial position and now accepts that the development agreement does constitute a works concession which should have been tendered out in accordance with EU rules, no measures have been introduced to bring to an end this infringement. Furthermore, no sufficient and adequate measures have been introduced to ensure that the award of future land development agreements will be compliant with the applicable EU rules.

EFDC HUB SUBSCRIPTION FEES PAID AND REBATES RECEIVED 2006 – 2010

Hub Financial Year		Subscriptions	Net Rebates	Net Contribution
From	To	(£)	(£)	(£)
Oct-06	Aug-07	33,000.00	11,230.86	21,769.14
Sep-07	Aug-08	37,080.00	21,570.67	15,509.33
Sep-08	Mar-09	22,277.50	7,427.47	14,850.03

		Accounting Year		Subscription	Rebate 1	Invoice Total	Rebate 2	Net Contribution
		From	To					
<i>6 months only</i>	2006/07	Oct-06	Mar-07	18,000.00	-6,125.92	11,874.08	0.00	11,874.08
	2007/08	Apr-07	Mar-08	36,630.00	-17,687.83	18,942.17	0.00	18,942.17
	2008/09	Apr-08	Mar-09	37,727.50	-16,415.25	21,312.25	0.00	21,312.25
	2009/10	Apr-09	Mar-10	38,190.00	-7,427.47	30,762.53	-22,495.33	8,267.20
	2010/11	Apr-10	Mar-11	47,140.00	-12,730.00	34,410.00	-31,383.41*	3,026.59

*predicted rebate

APPENDIX E

EFDC PROCUREMENT SAVINGS ACHIEVED FOR 2010/11

		Savings		
Contract	Type	Revenue	Capital	
Ink / Toner Cartridges	On-going – MOD Framework	£23,000.00 (Estimated)		Savings projected against MOD framework
Cash in Transit	EFDC specific Tender	£9,000.00		Savings made against previous contract value
CCTV Maintenance	EFDC specific Quote	£30,600.00		Savings based on work currently being undertaken.
Internal Audit Partnership Services	EFDC specific Tender	£3,300.00		Savings made against budget of £37000 p/a
Plastic Sacks	One Off via Hub Framework	£12,500.00		Saving made against budget figure of £30 per 1000 (5 million sacks ordered)
Vending Machines	EFDC specific Tender	£4,622.04		Savings made against budget of £70,350 divided by 5 years (contract length)
Arboricultural Maintenance	EFDC specific Tender	£23,851.00		Savings made against current schedule of rates (5 year contract)
Various IT projects	Buying Solutions Framework		£26,193.00	Savings made on both process and hard savings as listed by the OGC report received
Postal services	Buying Solutions Framework	£1,710.00		Savings made on process costs as listed by the OGC report received
Postal services	Tender	£9,689.00		Savings made on using Cleanmail Advance from the Royal Mail
Limes Farm Hall Construction project	One Off via Hub Framework	£7,750.00		Saving made against original quote for fixed cost project management
Graffiti Removal	EFDC specific Tender	£4,333.00		Savings made against the rates and removal figures of 2009/10 (650 sq meters - 26 jobs)
Refuse Vehicles	One Off via Hub Framework		£70,162.00 (Estimated)	Savings made against budget
Total		£130,355.04	£96,355.00	
TOTAL SAVINGS FOR 2010/11 AS OF FEBRUARY 2011		£226,710.04		

APPENDIX F

EPPING FOREST PROCUREMENT PROJECTS 2010/2011 - CONCLUDED

PROJECT NAME	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	TYPE	BUDGET	STATUS	DATE CONCLUDED
Playground Refurbishment	Playground Refurbishment - Pancroft Ring (Abridge)	Hub Framework	£74k	Contract awarded to Wicksteed's after evaluation of three returns - 20/1/10.	20/01/2010
Grounds Maintenance Equipment	Ride on mower	Hub Framework	£40k	Awarded to Ernest Doe	04/03/2010
Refuse Sacks	Clear Refuse Sacks	Hub Framework	£150k	Contract awarded to BPI	10/03/2010
Vending	Replacement of vending machines to a cost neutral option within the Civic site - Epping	EFDC Specific Non-OJEU	£60k	Contract awarded to Care Vending.	16/03/2010
Insurance	Insurance	Improvement East contract	£750k p.a.	Contract awarded to Zurich for initial 3 year period.	26/03/2010
Tree maintenance - Housing / Environment	Arboricultural Maintenance - Major Tree Works	EFDC Specific OJEU	£205k p.a.	OJEU contract award notice has been awarded. Contract to commence from 1/8/10.	01/06/2010
Multi Functional Devices	Multi Functional Devices	Buying Solutions	£60k	Canon has been awarded the contract with new machines being phased in by July '10.	01/06/2010
Replacement of Windows	Supply & Installation of Windows	LHC Framework	£150k	Contract awarded to Hazelmere.	01/06/2010
Heating System	Replacement Heating System	EFDC Specific Non-OJEU	£190k	Contract awarded to Allied Services.	01/06/2010
Feasibility Study	Feasibility study inc. designs for Benefits area at Civic Offices	Hub Framework	£20k	Contract was awarded to NPS on 29/7/10.	29/07/2010
Toilets	Demountable Toilets for North Weald Airfield	EFDC Specific Non-OJEU	£128k	Contract awarded to Convenience Services Ltd as highest scoring bidder (13/8/10).	13/08/2010
CCTV Maintenance	CCTV Maintenance	EFDC Specific Non-OJEU	£3k	Contract extended with incumbent supplier (18/8/10)	18/08/2010
Graffiti Removal	Graffiti Removal	EFDC Specific Non-OJEU	£45k p.a.	Contract awarded to Proud Industrial - 23/8/10.	23/08/2010
Consultancy	Feasibility Consultancy (North Weald Airfield)	EFDC Specific Non-OJEU	£70k	Contract awarded to Halcrow - 24/8/10.	24/08/2010
Consultancy Services	Consultancy Services for adaptation work	EFDC Specific Non-OJEU	£35k p.a.	Contract award to Steve Wotton - 27/8/10	27/08/2010
Webcasting	Webcasting Service	Hub Framework	£20k p.a.	SH has awarded a four year contract to Hub framework provider Public-I.	Sep-10

PROJECT NAME	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	TYPE	BUDGET	STATUS	DATE CONCLUDED
Artificial Pitch	Artificial multi use games surface	EFDC Specific Non-OJEU	£550k	Contract awarded to SSL - 3/9/10.	03/09/2010
Car Park - Loughton	Resurfacing of Car Park - Loughton	EFDC Specific Non-OJEU	£100k	Contract awarded to CIK - 20/9/10.	20/09/2010
Construction	Limes Farm construction project	Hub Framework	£1m	Final contract has been issued.	Oct-10
Recycling Boxes	Blue recycling boxes	ESPO	£3k	Contract awarded 21/12/10	21/12/2010